

**DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR)**  
**Emergency Watershed Protection Program – Recovery**

**Section 1A**

Date of Report: 03/17/2006

DSR Number: 005-05-002K Project Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**NRCS Entry Only**

Eligible: YES ☒ NO ☐  
Approved: YES ☒ NO ☐  
Funding Priority Number (from Section 4) 3e  
Limited Resource Area: YES ☐ NO ☒

**Section 1B Sponsor Information**

Sponsor Name: C. J. Gaudin (East Ascension Consolidated Gravity Drainage District No. 1 (EACGDD) )

Address: 42077 Churchpoint Road

City/State/Zip: Gonzales, La. 70737

Telephone Number: (225) 621-1120

Fax: (225) 621-5743

**Section 1C Site Location Information**

County: Ascension

State: LA

Congressional District: 06th

Latitude DS: 30.34263 Longitude DS: 90.90106 Section: 14, 15, 17, 19-24 Township: T 9S Range: R1E

Latitude US: 30.32160 Longitude US: 91.02097 27,37,39,40-42,45,55 T9S R2E

UTM Coordinates: \_\_\_\_\_ 18-20 T9S R3E

Drainage Name: Bayou Manchac Reach Manchac 8.2 miles (5,030 lf)

Damage Description: Debris - Light (Debris is reducing the capacity of the channel. Debris consists mostly of trees.)

**Section 1D Site Evaluation**

All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for EWP assistance.

Site Eligibility	YES	NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Threat to life and/or property?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Imminent threat was created by this event?**	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?***	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No structures to be repaired on this site.
<b>Site Defensibility</b>			
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments of the affected population have been informed of the EWP program and its possible effects? YES ☒ NO ☐

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\* Statutory

\*\* Regulation

\*\*\* DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

### Section 1E Proposed Action


Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

The proposed action is the preferred alternative. The proposed action is the least costly alternative that achieves the restoration objectives, maximizes environmental benefits, and is socially acceptable. The total length of the channel is 8.2 miles. However there is little debris and it is scattered in localized areas. The 5,030ft. length is a sum of the measure of those areas.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

### Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval


Reviewed By:

  
State EWP Program Manager

Date Reviewed:

7/7/06

Approved By:

  
State Conservationist

Date Approved:

7/10/06

### PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies, IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

### USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

### Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

## Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource Concerns	2B Existing Condition	2C Alternative Designation		
		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
		Working from within the channel remove and dispose of debris and large trees from the channel.	Leave all debris and large debris in the channel	Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using both sides of the channel for access
<b>2D Effects of Alternatives</b>				
<b>Soil</b>				
Bank Erosion	disturbed soils from bank, tree falls, and uprooting 20-T/ac	removal of debris will allow reveg. and reduce loss 5-T/ac	potential for further damage from debris 20-T/ac	reveg./reduce soil loss 10-T/ac
Soil Quality	soil quality reduced	soil quality improved	soil quality reduced	soil quality improved
<b>Water</b>				
<b>Downstream water rights</b>				
DO	low DO from decreased water flow	remove debris/increase flow/DO	blockage/low DO	remove debris/increase flow/DO
BOD	high BOD/debris decomposition	improved BOD by removing detritus	high BOD/decomposition	improved BOD/less detritus
Overall Stream Health	SVAP = 5.9 = poor	SVAP = 5.9 = poor	SVAP = 5.9 = poor	SVAP = 5.9 = poor
<b>Air</b>				
Particulate matter/dust	no effect	slight temporary increase during debris removal	no effect	attainment
<b>Plant</b>				
riparian plants	excess debris potential damage	debris removal will increase growth potential	continued growth limitation	debris removal will increase growth potential
aquatic plants	few important plants due to poor water quality or low	increase water quality and aquatic plants	few important plants due to poor water quality or low	increase aquatic plants
	diversity in vegetated areas, Multiple areas where duckweed		diversity in vegetated areas,	
	exists-around 60%of channel.			
<b>Animal</b>				
Aquatic habitat	impaired food web quality	quality increased/reduce BOD	quality reduced/high BOD	quality increased/reduce BOD
Upland habitat	mast producing trees destroyed	increase/low damage	slight increase with time	slight increase w/increased damage resulting from access
<b>Other</b>				
Aesthetics	Poor due to clutter	improved by debris removal	Poor due to clutter	improved by debris removal
Vectors	Increased potential due to stagnation	improve water flow	Increased potential due to stagnation	improve water flow

**Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns**

Resource Consideration	Existing Condition	Alternatives and Effects		
		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	CWA Jurisdiction. CWA permit will be needed if debris is left in channel.	Improve water quality; CWA permit required	decrease water quality; increase blockage/clutter	Improve water quality; short term increase in damage; CWA permit required
Coastal Zone Management Areas	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Coral Reefs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cultural Resources	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.
Endangered and Threatened Species	(FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) 4 species known, no species noted	(FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) Species listed in parish.	(FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) Species listed in parish.	(FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) Species listed in parish, no species noted.
Environmental Justice	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Essential Fish Habitat	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal.	State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal.	State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal.	State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required.
Floodplain Management	Stream is located in 100-year floodplain and function is impaired.	improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity	100-year floodplain function is impaired	improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity
Invasive Species	Chinese tallow, Chinese privet and japanese climbing vine noted in stream riparian zone.	No significant impact	No significant impact	No significant impacts
Migratory Birds	Food and cover quality for migratory nesting birds reduced.	Food and cover quality for nesting birds improved.	Food and cover quality for migratory nesting birds reduced.	Food and cover quality for nesting birds improved.
Natural Areas	(FOTG II) none identified	N/A	N/A	N/A
Prime and Unique Farmlands	(FOTG II) (Soil Survey) none present	N/A	N/A	N/A
Riparian Areas	Riparian area impacted by debris accumulation and altered hydrology	Riparian area improved by debris removal; some temporary impacts resulting from access	Riparian area impacted by increased debris and altered hydrology	Riparian area improved by debris removal; long-term impacts resulting from access
Scenic Beauty	(FOTG II) None present	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wetlands	Open water containing debris.	Wetlands values and functions restored to pre-storm conditions.	Open water containing debris.	Wetlands values and functions restored to pre-storm conditions.
Wild and Scenic Rivers	(FOTG II) - LDWF Scenic Streams List (not listed)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Completed By: James Shivers

Date: 03/17/2006

DSR NO: 005-05-002K

**Section 2F Economic**

**This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).**

	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	Near Term Damage Reduction
Properties Protected (Private)			
Houses:			
75 @ 140,000.00	10,500,000.00	25	2,625,000.00
Businesses:			
3- Lost @ 12000.00/wk(2)	72,000.00	25	18,000.00
Properties Protected (Public):			
Bridges (2) detour 1200 vehicles 22miles (2wk)@.485	176,256.00	10.00	17,625.60
1-Rail Road Tracks	75,000.00	10	7,500.00
2- 2Lane Bridges @ 150,000	300,000.00	10	30,000.00
Total Near Term Damage Reduction \$			2,698,125.60
Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage Reduction minus Cost from Section 3)			

Completed By: Jerry Hall Date: 03/20/2006

**Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered**

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Debris from storm is continually effecting the pumps causing upstream flooding.
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Debris in the channel is a safety hazard to boaters.

Completed By: James Shivers Date: 03/15/2006

**Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information**

**This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.**

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	22
Black or African American Male Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	21
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	3
White Female Non-Hispanic	102
White Male Hispanic	3
White Male Non-Hispanic	94
Total Group	245

Census tract(s) Ascension

Completed By: Jerry Hall Date: 03/20/2006

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Required: Access permission (Acension Parish Government); CWA permit prior to construction is needed (COE); Water Quality Certification is needed (LDEQ).

Four State and/or Federal Species are listed in Acension Parish, however, no species are listed on the Species of Conservation Concern map and no species noted.

Mitigation Description:

Avoid impacts to northern side of channel to prevent damage to riparian zone adjacent to channel. Work should be conducted from southern side of channel whenever possible. Only new/Katrina caused debris will be removed. No live trees within the stream bed should be cut. Debris will be disposed of off-site when possible to minimize impacts to adjacent riparian areas and to minimize detriment to fish habitat within stream and disposed of in an approved landfill if not chipped on site. Removal will be done in consecutive days to minimize impacts to local wildlife.

Reseeding of riparian zone will be required after removal to minimize run-off effects in riparian zone in accordance with (342) Critical Area Treatment Standard found in EFOTG Section IV.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
LDWF  
LDEQ  
Acension Parish Government



**Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority**

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifier
1. Is this an exigency situation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		3e
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<b>The following are modifiers for the above criteria</b>			<b>Modifier</b>	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?				
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?			e	
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO: 005-05-002 K

### Section 5A Findings

**Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):**

The proposed action is the preferred alternative. The proposed action is the least costly alternative that achieves the restoration objectives, maximizes environmental benefits, and is socially acceptable. The total length of the channel is 8.2 miles. However, there is little debris, and it is scattered in localized areas. The 5,030 ft. length is a summation of the measurement of these localized areas.

*I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative:*

- ☒ Has been sufficiently analyzed in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)
- Chapter 5.2.2.1.2  
Chapter \_\_\_\_\_  
Chapter \_\_\_\_\_  
Chapter \_\_\_\_\_  
Chapter \_\_\_\_\_

☐ May require the preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.  
The action will be referred to the NRCS State Office on this date:

NRCS representative of the DSR team

Title: DISTRICT CONSERVATIONIST

Date: 4-5-06

### Section 5B Comments:

### Section 5C

### Sponsor Concurrence:

Sponsor Representative

Title: Director/DPW

Date: 8/31/06

### Section 6 Attachments:

- A. Location Map
- B. Site/Plan or Sketches
- C. Other (explain)

[illegible]

ascension\_Ir  
primaryroads  
Bayou Manchac

